BEFORE THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD

Ī

,

16 | THE CITY OF RENO

versus

IN THE MATTER OF THE OPERATING ENGINEERS LOCAL UNION No. 3 OF THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING ENGINEERS, AFL-CIO.

OPINION

The complainant, Operating Engineers Local Union No. 3, raised the issue of whether NRS 288 permits an employer to - "recognize" a minority employee organization within a bargaining unit, not for negotiation per se, but for purposes other than negotiation such as grievance processing and payroll deduction for union dues.

The Board set a hearing for March 17, 1972, and the parties met in Reno, Nevada. Counsel for the Reno Municipal Employees - Association, whose bargaining unit includes the employees on whose behalf Operating Engineers Local Union No. 3 brought this recognition complaint, was also present at the hearing.

There was no evidence presented at the hearing by either the Operating Engineers Local No. 3, the City of Reno, or the Reno Municipal Employees Association. Each agreed to submit a statement of interest and facts as a basis for the Board's decision interpreting the Legislature's intent in the use of the word "recognition" in NRS 288.

NRS 288 refers to recognition of employee organizations for two purposes: negotiation and handling of grievances.

According to NRS 288.160 (3-c) an employee organization can lose its recognition if it

"Ceases to be supported by a majority of the local government employees in the negotiating unit for which it is recognized." (Emphasis added.)

NRS 288.140 (2) states:

"The recognition of an employee organization for negotiation, pursuant to this chapter, does not preclude any local government employee who is not a member of that employee organization from acting for himself with respect to any condition of his employment, but any action taken on a request or in adjustment of a grievance shall be consistent with the terms of an applicable agreement, if any." (Emphasis added.)

The Legislature did not intend that a minority union be recognized to handle grievances, or subsection 2 would have been written differently.

It was the Board's interpretation of NRS 288 in an earlier opinion, involving the American Federation of Teachers Local 1800 versus the Clark County School District and the Clark County Classroom Teachers Association, issued November 17, 1970, that rights granted to a majority employee organization as the exclusive negotiating representative in a negotiating unit included the exclusive right to (contract for) payroll deduction (of dues) and the use of internal employer communication media. We hereby affirm that decision.

IT IS ORDERED that the Complaint be dismissed.

Dated this 17th day of May, 1972.

Paul H. Dahlberg, Chairman

Dennis Pletzke Member